

A Finite Quantum Gravity Field Theory Model.

Jorge Alfaro, Pablo González and Ricardo Avila

Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Av. Vicuña Mackenna 4860, Santiago, Chile

September 23, 2011

Abstract

We discuss the quantization of Delta gravity, a two symmetric tensors model of gravity. This model, in Cosmology, shows accelerated expansion without a cosmological constant. We present the $\tilde{\delta}$ transformation which defines the geometry of the model. Then we show that all delta type models live at one loop only. We apply this to General Relativity and we calculate the one loop divergent part of the Effective Action showing its null contribution in vacuum, implying a finite model. Then we proceed to study the existence of ghosts in the model. Finally, we study the form of the finite quantum corrections to the classical action of the model.

INTRODUCTION.

In the twentieth century, two major revolutions in physics changed forever the way in which we understand nature and the world. One of these refers to Quantum Mechanics which bares its form to physicists like Niels Bohr, Werner Heisenberg, Erwin Schroedinger and Paul Dirac to name a few. This framework has been used successfully to describe the physics of the small i.e. from atoms to quarks, their forces and interactions. The second one is Einstein's General Theory of Relativity [1] which describes the physics of the very large, from the motion of planets in the solar system to the motion of galaxies in the Universe, that is, the gravitational interaction. General Relativity, or GR for short, is an excellent classical theory, it agrees with the classical tests of GR [2] and it provides a beautiful geometric interpretation of gravity. However, in spite of their individual successes, there is a problem. In fact, GR is not renormalizable. This means among other things that, we cannot compute quantum corrections to the classical results, black hole thermodynamics cannot be understood in statistical terms (we cannot count states) and near the big bang, GR breaks down i.e. quantum effects dominate the evolution of the Universe and perhaps this could explain inflation. To solve these problems, physicist have tried to find a unified theory that can encompass all phenomena in all scales and, in the particular case of the gravitational interaction, a Quantum Theory of Gravity.

For the first half of the past century practically no one worked on such a thing, but in the second half, increasingly amounts of people began to address the problem of finding a theory of quantum gravity. The oldest approaches to quantized gravity were methods developed by people like Gerard 'tHooft [3] and Bryce DeWitt [4] such as the path integral quantization and canonical quantization respectively. Recently, the results of these efforts have resulted in different theories which stand like candidates to solve the problem of quantum gravity. These are among others: superstring theory [5] [6], loop quantum gravity [7], twistors [8] and non-commutative geometry [9], but until now none of the above have produced satisfactory results that can single out one from the others.

The prime candidate for a consistent description of Quantum Gravity is String Theory [5] [6]. Strings appeared around 1970 to explain the confinement of quarks inside hadrons. But later it was realized that in a closed string

theory a spin two particle that can be identified with the graviton, naturally appears. Even in a open string theory, higher order computations will involve closed strings as intermediate states, so the inclusion of gravity in string theory is not only natural but may be also unavoidable. Moreover, the finiteness of string models make plausible the assertion that the model so formulated provides a consistent theory of Quantum Gravity. But, String theory has a plethora of consistent vacua, so unique phenomenological predictions are hard to get [10].

A second candidate to quantize gravity has developed in recent years. It is called Loop Quantum Gravity (LQG), where a canonical treatment of General Relativity is implemented [7]. New variables (loops) are introduced, in order to exploit the analogy with Non-Abelian Yang-Mills fields. They automatically solve some of the constraints of the theory. In a suitable Hilbert space (spin network states) it is possible to diagonalize geometrical objects such as volume and area, implementing its quantization. This recent progress has permitted the computation of the Black Hole Entropy using purely combinatoric methods [11], up to an arbitrary real number, the Barbero-Immirzi parameter. In this formalism, the semiclassical limit is hard to get [12].

Less widely explored, but interesting possibilities, are the search for non-trivial ultraviolet fixed points in gravity (asymptotic safety [13]) and the notion of induced gravity [14]. The first possibility uses exact renormalization-group techniques [15], and lattice and numerical techniques such as Lorentzian triangulation analysis [16]. Induced gravity proposed that gravitation is a residual force produced by other interactions.

In a recent paper [17], a two-dimensional field theory model explore the emergence of geometry by the spontaneous symmetry breaking of a larger symmetry where the metric is absent. Previous work in this direction can be found in [18], [19] and [20].

Nevertheless, coming back to the original approaches, which are still pursued today, via direct path integral quantization it is known that, to one loop, the Einstein-Hilbert theory is, in vacuum and without a cosmological constant, finite On-Shell [3] [21], but at two loops or more is Non-Renormalizable [22] [23] [24]. This means that, if we truncate the theory to one loop and evaluate in the equations of motion, what we will have is a finite model of gravitation. But how can we achieve this?

In [25] is shown a modified non abelian Yang-Mills model, which from now on will be refer to as $\tilde{\delta}$ Yang Mills. It is a natural and almost unique extension of non abelian Yang Mills theory which its main characteristics are that it preserves the classical equations of the original model at the quantum level, introduces new symmetries, which are a natural extension of the former symmetry, it is renormalizable, preserves the property of asymptotic freedom and lives at one Loop in the sense that higher loops corrections are absent. An important point is that the quantum corrections result being the double of the usual case.

Taking from the $\tilde{\delta}$ Yang Mills case and knowing the finiteness on shell for GR at one loop, the questions we raise are, can we apply the approach of $\tilde{\delta}$ Yang Mills to GR? Will it live at one loop? Will it give a finite model of quantum gravity? The answer to first question is yes (see [26]) and in the case of $\tilde{\delta}$ GR we have a natural and almost unique extension of GR that has two tensor fields. These are the graviton field $g_{\mu\nu}$ which transform as a two covariant tensor under general coordinate transformations (GCT), plus $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$ which transform as a two covariant tensor under general coordinate transformations and under an extra symmetry. The classical aspects of the model were explored in [26], there it is shown that $\tilde{\delta}$ GR preserve the classical equations of the former metric $g_{\mu\nu}$. The equations of motion for both fields are second order, the newtonian limit is compatible with experiments, the equivalence principle is satisfied and, in Cosmology, accelerated expansion of the universe is obtained without introducing a cosmological constant.

In the present work, we show that all delta theories live at one loop. We fix the gauge using the BRST method. We compute the divergent part of the effective action and it results the double of what was found in [3]. As the model lives at one loop, this is the exact effective action. Since the equation for the original field is preserved, this means the quantum corrections of the model are On-Shell in the $g_{\mu\nu}$ fields so that the divergent part of the effective action vanishes. This implies that in our model the effective action at one loop is exact and finite in vacuum so that it does not need to be renormalized.

The problem that this model has is the apparently inevitable appearance of ghosts. Due to them, it may not be unitarity or stable. This in turn implies difficulties with the quantization of the model, but in [27] [28] [29] [30] [31], phantom fields are used to explain the accelerated expansion of the universe as an alternative to the cosmological constant and quintessence. A feature that our model presents [26] and that our model seems to introduce in a natural way. It would be possible that our ghosts could be related to phantom fields in $\tilde{\delta}$ GR. This connection may be far reaching because the phantom idea has gained great popularity as an alternative to the cosmological constant. The present model could provide an arena to study the quantum properties of a phantom field, since the model has a finite quantum Effective Action. Moreover, the advantage of being a gauge-type model, maintains open the possibility of fixing a gauge in which the model is unitary or impose a condition to restrict the physical Hilbert space in such a way the model defined on this subspace is unitary. On the other hand, as [30] mentions, a choice could be made of having either ghosts or instabilities. There the author explains that in order to save unitary we are forced to choose instabilities which would imply having a hamiltonian not bounded from below.

Naturally, a theory of gravitation without matter is incomplete, but it serves as a motivation for future works where the research on these type of models can lead us to more realistic results. A possible solution is to use $\tilde{\delta}$ Supergravity models that contain matter fields [32] and could cure the phantom instability.

On **Section 1** we give the definition of $\tilde{\delta}$ transformation, we present the general coordinate transformations and its corresponding extensions, we define the new gauge transformations and the generalizations of the covariant derivative. Then on **Section 2** we show the general form of the invariant action for general $\tilde{\delta}$ theories, present and demonstrate the invariance of $\tilde{\delta}$ Gravity action and give the general form of the classical equations of motion for general fields. In **Section 3** we compute the Effective Action for a generic $\tilde{\delta}$ model and show that all of them live at one loop. **Section 4** is the most important section of this work, here we applied what was seen in the previous sections to the particular case of Einstein-Hilbert theory. We show the classical equations of motion for the two fields and give solutions for the particular cases of Schwarzschild and Freedman-Robertson-Walker(FRW) metrics. We apply the background field method (BFM) and give the relevant quadratic total lagrangian. We also calculate the divergent part of the Effective Action at one loop using an algorithm developed in [21]. In **Section 5**, using the gauge fixing of the previous section, we explore the Hamiltonian formalism, redefine the fields, the creation and annihilation operators and we see the existence of ghosts. Finally in **Section 6** we analyze the form of the finite quantum corrections to the Effective Action and we show the modification of the equations of motion due to the simplest type of corrections [33] [34] [35] [36].

In **Appendix A** we describe the gauge fixing procedure for the modified model of GR and obtain the Faddeev-Popov for this case using the BRST method in detail [37]. In **Appendix B** we give a review of the Background Field Method following [38]. Finally, in **Appendix C** we give a brief review of the algorithm developed in [21] for the computation of the divergent part of the Effective Action at one loop and we indicate the values of the parameters used in our case.

It is important to notice that we work with the $\tilde{\delta}$ modification to General Relativity, based on the Einstein-Hilbert theory. From now on, we will refer to this model as $\tilde{\delta}$ Gravity.

Motivated by simplicity, we will use cosmological constant $\Lambda = 0$. We will use the Riemann Tensor given in [21]:

$$R^\alpha_{\beta\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu \Gamma_{\nu\beta}^\alpha - \partial_\nu \Gamma_{\mu\beta}^\alpha + \Gamma_{\mu\gamma}^\alpha \Gamma_{\nu\beta}^\gamma - \Gamma_{\nu\gamma}^\alpha \Gamma_{\mu\beta}^\gamma \quad (1)$$

with the Ricci Tensor $R_{\mu\nu} = R^\alpha_{\mu\alpha\nu}$, the Ricci scalar $R = g^{\mu\nu} R_{\mu\nu}$ and:

$$\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\alpha = \frac{1}{2} g^{\alpha\beta} (\partial_\nu g_{\beta\mu} + \partial_\mu g_{\nu\beta} - \partial_\beta g_{\mu\nu}) \quad (2)$$

1 $\tilde{\delta}$ TRANSFORMATION.

In this work we will study a modification of models that consist in the application of a variation that we will define as $\tilde{\delta}$. This variation will produce new elements that we define as $\tilde{\delta}$ fields. We take through out our work the convention that a tilde tensor is equal to the $\tilde{\delta}$ transformation of the original tensor associated to it when all its indexes are covariant. We raise and lower indexes using the metric g .

In this form we will have:

$$\tilde{S}_{\mu\nu\alpha\dots} \equiv \tilde{\delta}(S_{\mu\nu\alpha\dots}) \quad (3)$$

and, for example:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\delta}(S^\mu_{\nu\alpha\dots}) &= \tilde{\delta}(g^{\mu\rho} S_{\rho\nu\alpha\dots}) \\ &= \tilde{\delta}(g^{\mu\rho}) S_{\rho\nu\alpha\dots} + g^{\mu\rho} \tilde{\delta}(S_{\rho\nu\alpha\dots}) \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

It is known that $\delta(g^{\mu\nu}) = -\delta(g_{\alpha\beta}) g^{\mu\alpha} g^{\nu\beta}$, so:

$$\tilde{\delta}(S^\mu_{\nu\alpha\dots}) = -\tilde{g}^{\mu\rho} S_{\rho\nu\alpha\dots} + \tilde{S}^\mu_{\nu\alpha\dots} \quad (5)$$

1.1 General Coordinate Transformation:

With the previous notation in mind, we can work out the general transformations $\tilde{\delta}$ for any tensor with all its indexes covariant (For mixed indices, please see (5)). We begin by considering general coordinate transformations or diffeomorphism in its infinitesimal form:

$$\begin{aligned} x'^\mu &= x^\mu - \xi_0^\mu(x) \\ \delta x'^\mu &= -\xi_0^\mu(x) \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Where δ is the general coordinate transformation. Now, we define:

$$\xi_1^\mu(x) \equiv \tilde{\delta}\xi_0^\mu(x) \quad (7)$$

Moreover, we postulate that $\tilde{\delta}$ commutes with δ . Now we see some examples:

I) A scalar $\Phi(x)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi'(x') &= \Phi(x) \\ \delta\Phi(x) &= \xi_0^\mu \Phi_{,\mu} \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

noting that $\tilde{\delta}$ commutes with δ , we can read the transformation rule for $\tilde{\Phi} = \tilde{\delta}\Phi$:

$$\delta\tilde{\Phi}(x) = \xi_1^\mu \Phi_{,\mu} + \xi_0^\mu \tilde{\Phi}_{,\mu} \quad (9)$$

II) A vector $V_\mu(x)$:

$$\delta V_\mu(x) = \xi_0^\beta V_{\mu,\beta} + \xi_{0,\mu}^\alpha V_\alpha \quad (10)$$

therefore, using (5), our new transformation will be:

$$\delta\tilde{V}_\mu(x) = \xi_1^\beta V_{\mu,\beta} + \xi_{1,\mu}^\alpha V_\alpha + \xi_0^\beta \tilde{V}_{\mu,\beta} + \xi_{0,\mu}^\alpha \tilde{V}_\alpha \quad (11)$$

III) Rank two Covariant Tensor $M_{\mu\nu}$:

$$\delta M_{\mu\nu}(x) = \xi_0^\rho M_{\mu\nu,\rho} + \xi_{0,\nu}^\beta M_{\mu\beta} + \xi_{0,\mu}^\beta M_{\nu\beta} \quad (12)$$

and for $\tilde{M}_{\mu\nu}$,

$$\delta\tilde{M}_{\mu\nu}(x) = \xi_1^\rho M_{\mu\nu,\rho} + \xi_{1,\nu}^\beta M_{\mu\beta} + \xi_{1,\mu}^\beta M_{\nu\beta} + \xi_0^\rho \tilde{M}_{\mu\nu,\rho} + \xi_{0,\nu}^\beta \tilde{M}_{\mu\beta} + \xi_{0,\mu}^\beta \tilde{M}_{\nu\beta} \quad (13)$$

We can define the new general coordinate transformations so that δ_0 is the transformation in ξ_0 and δ_1 in ξ_1 . This new transformation is the basis of this type of model.

1.2 Symmetry, Algebra and Gauge:

1.2.1 Gauge Transformations:

In gravitation we have a model with two fields. The first is just the usual gravitational field $g_{\mu\nu}(x)$ and a second one $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}(x)$ which corresponds to the $\tilde{\delta}$ variation of the first. We will have two gauge transformations associated to general coordinate transformation, given by (12) and (13):

$$\delta g_{\mu\nu}(x) = \xi_{0\mu;\nu} + \xi_{0\nu;\mu} \quad (14)$$

$$\delta \tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}(x) = \xi_{1\mu;\nu} + \xi_{1\nu;\mu} + \tilde{g}_{\mu\rho}\xi_{0,\nu}^\rho + \tilde{g}_{\nu\rho}\xi_{0,\mu}^\rho + \tilde{g}_{\mu\nu,\rho}\xi_0^\rho \quad (15)$$

where $\xi_0^\mu(x)$ and $\xi_1^\mu(x)$ are infinitesimal contravariant vectors of the gauge transformations. Studying the algebra of these transformations, we see:

$$[\delta_{\xi_0}, \delta_{\xi_0}]g_{\mu\nu}(x) = \zeta_{0\mu;\nu} + \zeta_{0\nu;\mu} = \delta_{\zeta_0}g_{\mu\nu} \quad (16)$$

with:

$$\zeta_0^\lambda = \bar{\xi}_{0,\rho}^\lambda \xi_0^\rho - \xi_{0,\rho}^\lambda \bar{\xi}_0^\rho \quad (17)$$

and:

$$[\delta_{\xi_1}, \delta_{\xi_1}]\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}(x) = \zeta_{1\mu;\nu} + \zeta_{1\nu;\mu} + \tilde{g}_{\mu\rho}\zeta_{0,\nu}^\rho + \tilde{g}_{\nu\rho}\zeta_{0,\mu}^\rho + \tilde{g}_{\mu\nu,\rho}\zeta_0^\rho = \delta_{\zeta_1}\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}(x) \quad (18)$$

where ζ_0 is as before and:

$$\zeta_1^\lambda = \bar{\xi}_{0,\rho}^\lambda \xi_1^\rho + \bar{\xi}_{1,\rho}^\lambda \xi_0^\rho - \xi_{0,\rho}^\lambda \bar{\xi}_1^\rho - \xi_{1,\rho}^\lambda \bar{\xi}_0^\rho \quad (19)$$

it can be seen from the above equations that both transformations form a closed algebra.

1.2.2 Covariant Differentiation:

As it is usual, we define the covariant derivative as:

$$\nabla_\nu A_\alpha = D_\nu A_\alpha = A_{\alpha;\nu} = A_{\alpha,\nu} - \Gamma_{\alpha\nu}^\lambda A_\lambda \quad (20)$$

where A_α is a covariant vector. Now we generalize the definition of the covariant derivative when it acts on 'tilde' tensors e.g:

$$\nabla_\nu \tilde{A}_\alpha = \tilde{\delta}(D_\nu A_\alpha) = \tilde{A}_{\alpha,\nu} - \Gamma_{\alpha\nu}^\lambda \tilde{A}_\lambda - \tilde{\delta}(\Gamma_{\alpha\nu}^\lambda) A_\lambda \quad (21)$$

where $\tilde{A}_\alpha = \tilde{\delta}A_\alpha$, and we reserve the D notation for the usual covariant derivative and ∇ for the generalized one so that:

$$\nabla_\nu \tilde{A}_\alpha = D_\nu \tilde{A}_\alpha - \tilde{\delta}(\Gamma_{\alpha\nu}^\lambda) A_\lambda \quad (22)$$

Where:

$$\tilde{\delta}(\Gamma_{\alpha\nu}^{\lambda}) = \frac{1}{2}g^{\lambda\rho} (D_{\nu}\tilde{g}_{\rho\alpha} + D_{\alpha}\tilde{g}_{\nu\rho} - D_{\rho}\tilde{g}_{\alpha\nu}) \quad (23)$$

further, the infinitesimal transformation of the modified connection is:

$$\delta(\tilde{\delta}\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^{\varepsilon}) = \nabla_{\mu}\nabla_{\nu}\xi_1^{\varepsilon} + R^{\varepsilon}_{\nu\gamma\mu}\xi_1^{\gamma} + \tilde{\delta}(R^{\varepsilon}_{\nu\gamma\mu})\xi_0^{\gamma} \quad (24)$$

with:

$$\tilde{\delta}(R^{\varepsilon}_{\nu\gamma\mu}) = D_{\gamma} \left[\tilde{\delta}(\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^{\varepsilon}) \right] - D_{\mu} \left[\tilde{\delta}(\Gamma_{\gamma\nu}^{\varepsilon}) \right] \quad (25)$$

As $D_{\nu}A_{\alpha}$ is a two covariant tensor, $\nabla_{\nu}\tilde{A}_{\alpha}$ is a tilde tensor of rank two and transforms according to equation (13). This definition of covariant derivative will be used in **Section 4**. We notice that an analogous type of generalization of covariant derivative was used in [25].

Now that we have established the notation and the definitions, we can start to look for the structure of the modified models. In the next section, we will define the new invariant action and find the classical equations of motion.

2 MODIFIED MODEL.

As the general coordinate transformations were extended, we can look for an invariant action. We start by considering a model which is based on a given action $S_0[\phi_I]$ where ϕ_I are generic fields, then we add to it a piece which is equal to an $\tilde{\delta}$ variation with respect to the fields and we let $\tilde{\delta}\phi_J = \tilde{\phi}_J$ so that we have:

$$S[\phi, \tilde{\phi}] = S_0[\phi] + \kappa_2 \int d^4x \frac{\delta S_0}{\delta \phi_I(x)} [\phi] \tilde{\phi}_I(x) \quad (26)$$

with κ_2 an arbitrary constant and the indexes I can represent any kind of indexes. For more details of the definition of $\tilde{\delta}$, please see *Appendix A* of [26]. This new defined action shows the standard structure which is used to define any modified element or function for $\tilde{\delta}$ type models, for example the gauge fixing and Faddeev Popov. Next, we verify that this form of action is indeed the correct one for $\tilde{\delta}$ Gravity and so is invariant to the new general coordinate transformation.

2.1 The Modified Model's Invariance:

In this paper, we will investigate the $\tilde{\delta}$ Gravity action, obtained by the procedure sketched above:

$$S[g, \tilde{g}] = \int d^d x \sqrt{-g} \left(-\frac{1}{2\kappa} R + \mathcal{L}_M \right) + \kappa_2 \int \left(R^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g^{\mu\nu} R - \kappa T^{\mu\nu} \right) \sqrt{-g} \tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} d^d x \quad (27)$$

Where \mathcal{L}_M is some matter lagrangian and:

$$\begin{aligned} T^{\mu\nu} &= -\frac{2}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\delta(\sqrt{-g}\mathcal{L}_M)}{\delta g_{\mu\nu}} \\ &= -2\frac{\delta\mathcal{L}_M}{\delta g_{\mu\nu}} - g^{\mu\nu}\mathcal{L}_M \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

is the Energy Momentum Tensor. Now we must verify that (27) is invariant under the following transformations:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta g_{\mu\nu}(x) &= g_{\mu\rho}\xi_{0,\nu}^\rho + g_{\nu\rho}\xi_{0,\mu}^\rho + g_{\mu\nu,\rho}\xi_0^\rho = \xi_{0\mu;\nu} + \xi_{0\nu;\mu} \\ \delta \tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}(x) &= \xi_{1\mu;\nu} + \xi_{1\nu;\mu} + \tilde{g}_{\mu\rho}\xi_{0,\nu}^\rho + \tilde{g}_{\nu\rho}\xi_{0,\mu}^\rho + \tilde{g}_{\mu\nu,\rho}\xi_0^\rho \end{aligned}$$

We can see that (27) is obviously invariant under transformations generated by ξ_0^ρ , since these are general coordinate transformations and we declared $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$ to be a covariant two tensor. Under transformations generated by ξ_1^ρ , $g_{\mu\nu}$ does not change, so we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_1 S(g, \tilde{g}) &= \kappa_2 \int \left(R^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g^{\mu\nu}R - \kappa T^{\mu\nu} \right) \sqrt{-g}(\delta_1 \tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}) d^d x \\ &= \kappa_2 \int \left(R^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g^{\mu\nu}R - \kappa T^{\mu\nu} \right) \sqrt{-g}(\xi_{1\mu;\nu} + \xi_{1\nu;\mu}) d^d x \\ &= -2\kappa_2 \int \left(R^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g^{\mu\nu}R - \kappa T^{\mu\nu} \right)_{;\nu} \sqrt{-g}\xi_{1\mu} d^d x = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

We notice that we must impose conservation of the Energy-momentum tensor $T^{\mu\nu}_{;\nu} = 0$ so that (29) is fulfilled.

2.2 Classical Equation:

Now that we know that our action is invariant we can start to study the model. To begin with, we will see how are the classical equations of motion. When varied (26) with respect to $\tilde{\phi}_I$, we obtain the classical equation of ϕ_I :

$$\frac{\delta S_0}{\delta \phi_I(x)}[\phi] = 0 \quad (30)$$

And when varied with respect to ϕ_I , we obtain the $\tilde{\phi}_I$'s equation:

$$\frac{\delta S_0}{\delta \phi_I(y)}[\phi] + \kappa_2 \int d^4 x \frac{\delta^2 S_0}{\delta \phi_I(y) \delta \phi_J(x)}[\phi] \tilde{\phi}_J(x) = 0 \quad (31)$$

Simplifying this equation using (30), we obtain:

$$\int d^4 x \frac{\delta^2 S_0}{\delta \phi_I(y) \delta \phi_J(x)}[\phi] \tilde{\phi}_J(x) = 0 \quad (32)$$

Where we notice that $\frac{\delta^2 S_0}{\delta \phi_J \delta \phi_I}[\phi]$ is a differential operator acting on $\tilde{\phi}_J$. $\tilde{\phi}_J$ belongs to the kernel of this differential operator. It turns out that the kernel is not zero, a fact that can be clearly seen in this paper, for the case of gravitation, in equation (44) and below.

Having studied the classical model we can begin to look for the quantum aspects of it. In the next section we will compute the quantum corrections using a path integral approach.

3 QUANTUM MODIFIED MODEL.

In this section, we derive the exact Effective Action for a generic $\tilde{\delta}$ model and apply the result to the Einstein-Hilbert action in **Section 4**. We saw that the classical action for a $\tilde{\delta}$ model is (26). This in turn implies that we now have two fields to be integrated in the generating functional of Green functions:

$$Z(j, \tilde{j}) = e^{iW(j, \tilde{j})} = \int \mathcal{D}\phi \mathcal{D}\tilde{\phi} e^{i(S_0 + \int d^N x \frac{\delta S_0}{\delta \phi_I} \tilde{\phi}_I + \int d^N x (j_I(x) \phi_I(x) + \tilde{j}_I(x) \tilde{\phi}_I(x)))} \quad (33)$$

We can readily appreciate that because of the linearity of the exponent on $\tilde{\phi}_J$ what we have is the integral representation of a Dirac's delta function so that our modified model, once integrated, over $\tilde{\phi}_J$ gives a model with a constrain making the original model live on-shell.

$$Z(j, \tilde{j}) = \int \mathcal{D}\phi e^{i(S_0 + \int d^N x j_I(x) \phi_I(x))} \delta \left(\frac{\delta S_0}{\delta \phi_I(x)} + \tilde{j}_I(x) \right) \quad (34)$$

A first glance at equation (34) could lead us to believe that this model is purely classical. But we can see by doing a short and simple analysis that this is not so. For this, we follow [39]. See also [40].

Let φ_I solves the classical equation of motion:

$$\frac{\delta S_0}{\delta \phi_I(x)}|_{\varphi_I} + \tilde{j}_I(x) = 0 \quad (35)$$

We have:

$$\delta \left(\frac{\delta S_0}{\delta \phi_I(x)} + \tilde{j}_I(x) \right) = \det^{-1} \left(\frac{\delta^2 S_0}{\delta \phi_I(x) \delta \phi_J(y)}|_{\varphi_I} \right) \delta(\phi_I - \varphi_I) \quad (36)$$

Therefore:

$$Z(j, \tilde{j}) = \int \mathcal{D}\phi e^{i(S_0 + \int d^N x j_I(x) \phi_I(x))} \delta \left(\frac{\delta S_0}{\delta \phi_I(x)} + \tilde{j}_I(x) \right) = e^{i(S_0(\varphi) + \int d^N x j_I(x) \varphi_I(x))} \det^{-1} \left(\frac{\delta^2 S_0}{\delta \phi_I(x) \delta \phi_J(y)}|_{\varphi_I} \right) \quad (37)$$

Notice that φ is a functional of \tilde{j} . The generating functional of connected Green functions is:

$$W(j, \tilde{j}) = S_0(\varphi) + \int d^N x j_I(x) \varphi_I(x) + i \text{Tr} \left(\log \left(\frac{\delta^2 S_0}{\delta \phi_I(x) \delta \phi_J(y)} \Big|_{\varphi_I} \right) \right) \quad (38)$$

Define:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_I(x) &= \frac{\delta W}{\delta j_I(x)} \\ &= \varphi_I(x) \\ \tilde{\Phi}_I(x) &= \frac{\delta W}{\delta \tilde{j}_I(x)} \end{aligned}$$

The effective action is defined by:

$$\Gamma(\Phi, \tilde{\Phi}) = W(j, \tilde{j}) - \int d^N x \left\{ j_I(x) \Phi_I(x) + \tilde{j}_I(x) \tilde{\Phi}_I(x) \right\}$$

We get, using equations (35) and (38):

$$\Gamma(\Phi, \tilde{\Phi}) = S_0(\Phi) + \int d^N x \frac{\delta S_0}{\delta \Phi_I(x)} \tilde{\Phi}_I(x) + i \text{Tr} \left(\log \left(\frac{\delta^2 S_0}{\delta \Phi_I(x) \delta \Phi_J(y)} \right) \right) \quad (39)$$

This is the exact effective action for $\tilde{\delta}$ theories. In this demonstration we have assumed that all the relevant steps for fixing the gauge have been made in (33), so S_0 includes the Gauge Fixing and Faddeev Popov lagrangian, in the manner that the new gauge fixing and Faddeev Popov will be the original ones plus the $\tilde{\delta}$ variation of them. For more detail on this and in the case of gravitation, see **Appendix A** Eq. (110) and (120).

Comparing equation (16.42) of [39] with equation (39), we see that the one loop contribution to the effective action of δ theories is exact and the $\tilde{\delta}$ modified model lives only to one loop because higher corrections simply do not exist. Finally it is twice the one loop contribution of the original theory from which the $\tilde{\delta}$ model was derived. This results from having doubled the number of degrees of freedom. We also see that this term does not depend on the $\tilde{\phi}_I$ fields.

These conclusions can also be achieved through a topological analysis with Feynman diagrams, but due to its length and complexity we have chosen to leave out. See [25] for the particular case of non abelian $\tilde{\delta}$ Yang Mills.

We see from equation (39) that the equations of motion for the original field $\Phi_I(x)$ do not receive quantum corrections:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\delta}{\delta \tilde{\Phi}_I(z)} \Gamma(\Phi, \tilde{\Phi}) &= 0 \\ \frac{\delta S_0}{\delta \Phi_I(z)} &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

On the other side, when varying with respect to ϕ_I , one obtains that the equations of motion for the new field $\tilde{\phi}_I$ do receive quantum corrections:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\delta}{\delta\Phi_I(x)}\Gamma(\Phi, \tilde{\Phi}) &= 0 \\ \int d^N x \frac{\delta^2 S_0}{\delta\Phi_I(z)\delta\Phi_J(x)}\tilde{\Phi}_J(x) + i\frac{\delta}{\delta\Phi_I(z)}\text{Tr}\left(\log\left(\frac{\delta^2 S_0}{\delta\Phi_I(x)\delta\Phi_J(y)}\right)\right) &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

In conclusion, the quantum corrections behave as a source that only affects the equations of the new field remaining the ones of the original field unchanged. This is clearly seen when we compare (40) and (41) with (30) and (32).

In general, $\text{Tr}\left(\log\left(\frac{\delta^2 S_0}{\delta\Phi_I(x)\delta\Phi_J(y)}\right)\right)$ could be divergent and need to be renormalized (See [25]). From equation (39), we see that $\tilde{\delta}$ model will be renormalizable if the original theory is renormalizable. But, due to equation (40), originally non-renormalizable theories could be finite or renormalizable in the $\tilde{\delta}$ version of it. This term can be calculated in many ways, for example by Zeta function regularization (See, for instance, [41]), perturbation theory (Feynman diagrams), etc. For gravitation, the calculation of this term is quite difficult in any of the above methods so we will use an alternative method developed in [21].

In the present work, the $\tilde{\delta}$ Gravity model contains two dynamical fields, $g_{\mu\nu}$ and $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$, both are important to describe the gravitational field in this approach. Please see [26]. So, we must consider the effective action of the model for the two fields. We saw that $g_{\mu\nu}$ satisfies the classical equations always. This is the meaning of equation (34). But, the equation of motion for $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$ do receive quantum corrections. Moreover, One Particle Irreducible Graphs containing $g_{\mu\nu}$ external legs are non trivial and subjected to quantum effects.

In the next section, we will study $\tilde{\delta}$ Gravity. We will see that the divergent part of the quantum corrections to the Effective Action give a null contribution to the equations of motion for pure gravity and without a cosmological constant, which means that under these conditions we have a finite model of gravity.

4 $\tilde{\delta}$ GRAVITY.

Until now, we have studied $\tilde{\delta}$ models in general. We found the invariant action given by (26), with the classical equations of motion (30) and (32). Then, we demonstrated that $\tilde{\delta}$ models live only to one loop and the effective action is given by (39). In this section, we apply these results to gravity. In the first part, we will present the classical equations of motion for both fields and show the solutions in two cases. Then we will apply the Background Field Method (BFM) to obtain the quadratic lagrangians and finally we calculate the divergent part of the effective action for $\tilde{\delta}$ Gravity.

4.1 Classical Equations of Motion and Solutions:

Now we are ready to study the modifications to gravity. In this case, we have that $\phi_I \rightarrow g_{\mu\nu}$ and $\tilde{\phi}_I \rightarrow \tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$. So, using (26), we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
L_0[g_{\mu\nu}] &= \sqrt{-g} \left(-\frac{1}{2\kappa} R + \mathcal{L}_M \right) \\
L[g_{\mu\nu}] &= \sqrt{-g} \left[-\frac{1}{2\kappa} R + \mathcal{L}_M + \kappa'_2 (G^{\mu\nu} - \kappa T^{\mu\nu}) \tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} \right]
\end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

with $\kappa = \frac{8\pi G}{c^4}$, $\kappa'_2 = \frac{\kappa_2}{2\kappa}$, \mathcal{L}_M some matter lagrangian and:

$$\begin{aligned}
T^{\mu\nu} &= -\frac{2}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\delta(\sqrt{-g}\mathcal{L}_M)}{\delta g_{\mu\nu}} \\
&= -2 \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}_M}{\delta g_{\mu\nu}} - g^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{L}_M
\end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

is the Energy Momentum Tensor. Recall from (29), that we need $T^{\mu\nu}$ to be conserved. If we variate this action, we obtain the equations of motion:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} (R^{\mu\nu} \tilde{g}_\sigma^\sigma - R \tilde{g}^{\mu\nu}) + F^{(\mu\nu)(\alpha\beta)\rho\lambda} D_\rho D_\lambda \tilde{g}_{\alpha\beta} &= \kappa T^{\mu\nu} \\
&= \kappa \frac{\delta T_{\alpha\beta}}{\delta g_{\mu\nu}} \tilde{g}^{\alpha\beta}
\end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

with:

$$\begin{aligned}
F^{(\mu\nu)(\alpha\beta)\rho\lambda} &= P^{((\rho\mu)(\alpha\beta))} g^{\nu\lambda} + P^{((\rho\nu)(\alpha\beta))} g^{\mu\lambda} - P^{((\mu\nu)(\alpha\beta))} g^{\rho\lambda} - P^{((\rho\lambda)(\alpha\beta))} g^{\mu\nu} \\
P^{((\alpha\beta)(\mu\nu))} &= \frac{1}{4} (g^{\alpha\mu} g^{\beta\nu} + g^{\alpha\nu} g^{\beta\mu} - g^{\alpha\beta} g^{\mu\nu})
\end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

Where $(\mu\nu)$ tells us that the μ and ν are in a totally symmetric combination. An important thing to notice is that both equations are of second order in derivatives which is needed to preserve causality. In this paper, we will work in the vacuum, this is $\mathcal{L}_M = 0$, so that (44) simplifies to:

$$\begin{aligned}
R^{\mu\nu} &= 0 \\
F^{(\mu\nu)(\alpha\beta)\rho\lambda} D_\rho D_\lambda \tilde{g}_{\alpha\beta} &= 0
\end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

Some particular solutions to equations (44) and (46) are the following:

For the vacuum, we have for example the case of Schwarzschild:

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} -(1 - \frac{\alpha}{r}) & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\alpha}{r}} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & r^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & r^2 \sin(\theta) \end{pmatrix} \tag{47}$$

which has a solution for $\tilde{g}_{\alpha\beta}$ of the form:

$$\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} -(1 - \frac{2\alpha+\beta}{r}) & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1+\frac{\beta}{r}}{(1-\frac{\alpha}{r})^2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & r^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & r^2 \sin(\theta) \end{pmatrix} \quad (48)$$

Where it as been imposed that $g_{\mu\nu}$ and $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$ approach Minkowski space when $r \rightarrow \infty$, and α and β are determined by boundary conditions.

Another interesting case is for the case of Friedman-Robertson-Walker under a density $\rho(t)$ with an equation of state $p(t) = \omega\rho(t)$:

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & R(t) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & R(t)r^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & R(t)r^2 \sin(\theta) \end{pmatrix} \quad (49)$$

Where $R(t) = R_0 \left(\frac{t}{t_0}\right)^{\frac{2}{3(1+\omega)}}$. The solution for $\tilde{g}_{\alpha\beta}$ is:

$$\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} -\tilde{A}(r) & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \tilde{B}(r) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \tilde{B}(r)r^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \tilde{B}(r)r^2 \sin(\theta) \end{pmatrix} \quad (50)$$

with $\tilde{A}(r) = 3\omega l_2 \left(\frac{t}{t_0}\right)^{\frac{\omega-1}{\omega+1}}$, $\tilde{B}(r) = R_0^2 l_2 \left(\frac{t}{t_0}\right)^{\frac{3\omega-1}{3(1+\omega)}}$ and l_2 a free parameter. This case was analyzed in [26], where accelerated expansion of the universe was obtained without a cosmological constant. This means that $\tilde{\delta}$ Gravity does not need of dark energy, being this one of its features that motivated us to study its quantization.

4.2 BFM and Quadratic Lagrangians:

We proceed to calculate the quadratic lagrangians for $\tilde{\delta}$ Gravity and Faddeev Popov. This expressions are needed to obtain the one loop corrections of the model. For this, we use the Background Field Method (See **Appendix B**), with $\mathcal{L}_M = 0$. That is $g_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow g_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu}$ and $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow \tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} + \tilde{h}_{\mu\nu}$. So, (42) reduces to:

$$\begin{aligned} L_0[g_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu}] &= \frac{\sqrt{-g}}{2\kappa} \left(-\bar{R} - \frac{1}{2}C^2 \right) \\ L[g_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu}] &= \frac{\sqrt{-g}}{2\kappa} \left(-\bar{R} - C^\mu H_\mu + \kappa_2 \bar{G}^{\mu\nu} \tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

with $\bar{R} = R[g + h]$ and $\bar{G}^{\mu\nu} = G^{\mu\nu}[g + h]$. We have included the original gauge fixing $C_\mu = h_{\mu;\nu}^\nu - \frac{1}{2}h_{\nu;\mu}^\nu$ and the new part $H_\mu = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \frac{\kappa_2}{2} \tilde{g}_\alpha^\alpha \right) C_\mu + \kappa_2 \left(\tilde{C}_\mu - \frac{1}{2} \tilde{g}_{\mu\rho} C^\rho \right)$ (See **Appendix A**). When we calculate the quadratic part in the quantum gravitational fields, $h_{\mu\nu}$ and $\tilde{h}_{\mu\nu}$, we obtain:

$$L_{quad} = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{-g} \vec{h}_{(\alpha\beta)}^T P^{((\alpha\beta)(\mu\nu))} \left(\left[K_{(\mu\nu)}^{(\gamma\varepsilon)} \right]^{(\lambda\eta)} \nabla_\lambda \nabla_\eta + \left[W_{(\mu\nu)}^{(\gamma\varepsilon)} \right] \right) \vec{h}_{(\gamma\varepsilon)} \quad (52)$$

and:

$$\vec{h}_{(\alpha\beta)} = \begin{pmatrix} h_{\alpha\beta} \\ \tilde{h}_{\alpha\beta} \end{pmatrix} \quad (53)$$

$$\left[K_{(\mu\nu)}^{(\gamma\varepsilon)} \right]^{(\lambda\eta)} = \frac{1}{2\kappa} g^{\lambda\eta} \begin{pmatrix} (1 + \frac{\kappa_2}{2} \tilde{g}_\sigma^\sigma) \delta_{\mu\nu}^{\gamma\varepsilon} + \kappa_2 P_{((\mu\nu)(\sigma\rho))}^{-1} \tilde{\delta}(P^{((\sigma\rho)(\gamma\varepsilon))}) & \kappa_2 \delta_{\mu\nu}^{\gamma\varepsilon} \\ \kappa_2 \delta_{\mu\nu}^{\gamma\varepsilon} & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \frac{\kappa_2}{2\kappa} \tilde{g}^{\lambda\eta} \delta_{\mu\nu}^{\gamma\varepsilon} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (54)$$

$$\left[W_{(\mu\nu)}^{(\gamma\varepsilon)} \right] = \frac{1}{\kappa} \begin{pmatrix} (1 + \frac{\kappa_2}{2} \tilde{g}_\sigma^\sigma) X_{(\mu\nu)}^{(\gamma\varepsilon)} + \kappa_2 \tilde{\delta}(X_{(\mu\nu)}^{(\gamma\varepsilon)}) + \kappa_2 P_{((\mu\nu)(\sigma\rho))}^{-1} \tilde{\delta}(P^{((\sigma\rho)(\alpha\beta))}) X_{(\alpha\beta)}^{(\gamma\varepsilon)} & \kappa_2 X_{(\mu\nu)}^{(\gamma\varepsilon)} \\ \kappa_2 X_{(\mu\nu)}^{(\gamma\varepsilon)} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (55)$$

Where:

$$X_{(\mu\nu)}^{(\gamma\varepsilon)} = \frac{1}{2} \left(R_{\mu}^{\gamma}{}_{\nu}^{\varepsilon} + R_{\mu}^{\varepsilon}{}_{\nu}^{\gamma} + \frac{1}{2} (\delta_{\mu}^{\gamma} R_{\nu}^{\varepsilon} + \delta_{\mu}^{\varepsilon} R_{\nu}^{\gamma} + \delta_{\nu}^{\gamma} R_{\mu}^{\varepsilon} + \delta_{\nu}^{\varepsilon} R_{\mu}^{\gamma}) - \delta^{\gamma\varepsilon} R_{\mu\nu} - \delta_{\mu\nu} R^{\gamma\varepsilon} - \frac{1}{2} R (\delta_{\mu}^{\gamma} \delta_{\nu}^{\varepsilon} + \delta_{\mu}^{\varepsilon} \delta_{\nu}^{\gamma} - \delta_{\mu\nu} \delta^{\gamma\varepsilon}) \right) \quad (56)$$

where $P^{((\alpha\beta)(\mu\nu))}$ is defined in (45) and $\delta_{\mu\nu}^{\gamma\varepsilon}$ is the symmetrized Kronecker delta. Moreover, the covariant derivative works on $\vec{h}_{(\gamma\varepsilon)}$ vector like:

$$\nabla_\lambda \vec{h}_{(\gamma\varepsilon)} = \partial_\lambda \vec{h}_{(\gamma\varepsilon)} - \left[\Gamma_{\lambda\gamma}^{\beta} \right] \vec{h}_{(\beta\varepsilon)} - \left[\Gamma_{\lambda\varepsilon}^{\beta} \right] \vec{h}_{(\gamma\beta)} \quad (57)$$

with:

$$\left[\Gamma_{\lambda\gamma}^{\beta} \right] = \begin{pmatrix} \Gamma_{\lambda\gamma}^{\beta} & 0 \\ \tilde{\delta}(\Gamma_{\lambda\gamma}^{\beta}) & \Gamma_{\lambda\gamma}^{\beta} \end{pmatrix} \quad (58)$$

And using the BRST method, we obtain de Faddeev-Popov:

$$L_{FP} = \bar{c}_\mu^T \sqrt{-g} \left(\left[K_{FP}^{\mu\lambda} \right]^{(\rho\nu)} \nabla_\rho \nabla_\nu + \left[W_{FP}^{\mu\lambda} \right] \right) \vec{c}_\lambda \quad (59)$$

Where:

$$\vec{c}_\lambda = \begin{pmatrix} c_\lambda \\ \tilde{c}_\lambda \end{pmatrix} \quad (60)$$

$$\left[K_{FP}^{\mu\lambda} \right]^{(\rho\nu)} = i g^{\nu\rho} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} (1 + \frac{\kappa_2}{2} \tilde{g}_\sigma^\sigma) g^{\mu\lambda} - \frac{\kappa_2}{2} \tilde{g}^{\mu\lambda} & \kappa_2 g^{\mu\lambda} \\ g^{\mu\lambda} & 0 \end{pmatrix} - i \kappa_2 \tilde{g}^{\nu\rho} g^{\mu\lambda} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (61)$$

$$\left[W_{FP}^{\mu\lambda} \right] = i \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} (1 + \frac{\kappa_2}{2} \tilde{g}_\sigma^\sigma) R^{\mu\lambda} - \kappa_2 \tilde{g}_{\alpha\beta} R^{\mu\alpha\lambda\beta} - \frac{\kappa_2}{2} \tilde{g}^{\mu\alpha} R_\alpha^\lambda - g^{\alpha\beta} g^{\mu\gamma} \tilde{\delta}(R_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^\lambda) & \kappa_2 R^{\mu\lambda} \\ R^{\mu\lambda} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (62)$$

with:

$$\nabla_\lambda \vec{c}_\mu = \partial_\lambda \vec{c}_\mu - \left[\Gamma_{\lambda\mu}^\beta \right] \vec{c}_\beta \quad (63)$$

The detail of the Faddeev Popov lagrangian is presented in **Appendix A**.

4.3 Divergent Part of the Effective Action:

In **Section 3** we demonstrated that the quantum corrections to the Effective Action do not depend on the tilde fields, in this case $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$. On the other side, Renormalization theory tells us that its divergent corrections can only be local terms. So, by power counting and invariance of the Background Field Effective Action under general coordinate transformations, we know that the divergent part to L loops is [3] [24]:

$$\Delta S_{div}^L \propto \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} R^{L+1} \quad (64)$$

Where R^{L+1} is any scalar contraction of $(L+1)$ Riemann's tensors. As our model lives only to one loop:

$$L_Q^{div} = \sqrt{-g}(a_1 R^2 + a_2 R_{\alpha\beta} R^{\alpha\beta}) \quad (65)$$

We do not use $R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\lambda} R^{\alpha\beta\gamma\lambda}$ because we have the topological identity in four dimensions:

$$\sqrt{-g} (R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\lambda} R^{\alpha\beta\gamma\lambda} - 4R_{\alpha\beta} R^{\alpha\beta} + R) = \text{Total derivative.} \quad (66)$$

To calculate the divergent part of the Effective Action in our model (i.e. a_1 and a_2 in (65)), we made a FORM program [42] to implement the algorithm developed in [21], obtaining in our case (**See Appendix C**):

$$\begin{aligned} L_{Q,grav}^{div} &= \sqrt{-g} \frac{\hbar c}{\varepsilon} \left(\frac{7}{12} R^2 + \frac{7}{6} R_{\alpha\beta} R^{\alpha\beta} \right) \\ L_{Q,ghost}^{div} &= -2 \times \sqrt{-g} \frac{\hbar c}{\varepsilon} \left(\frac{17}{60} R^2 + \frac{7}{30} R_{\alpha\beta} R^{\alpha\beta} \right) \\ L_Q^{div} &= \sqrt{-g} \frac{\hbar c}{\varepsilon} \left(\frac{1}{60} R^2 + \frac{7}{10} R_{\alpha\beta} R^{\alpha\beta} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (67)$$

with $\varepsilon = 8\pi^2(N-4)$. When we compare with the usual result in gravitation [3] [21] we can see that we obtain twice the divergent term of General Relativity. Divergences also double in Yang-Mills [25].

Moreover, since Einstein's equations of motion are exactly valid at the quantum level

$$\left(\frac{\delta \Gamma(g, \tilde{g})}{\delta \tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}} \right) = R^{\mu\nu} = 0 \quad (68)$$

Where $\Gamma(g, \tilde{g})$ is the Effective Action in the Background Field Method. It follows that the contribution of (67) to the equation of motion vanishes:

$$\hbar c \left[\frac{\sqrt{-g}}{\varepsilon} \left(\frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} \left(\frac{1}{60} R^2 + \frac{7}{10} R_{\alpha\beta} R^{\alpha\beta} \right) + \frac{1}{30} R \frac{\delta R}{\delta g_{\mu\nu}} + \frac{7}{10} R_{\alpha\beta} \frac{\delta R^{\alpha\beta}}{\delta g_{\mu\nu}} + \frac{7}{10} R^{\alpha\beta} \frac{\delta R_{\alpha\beta}}{\delta g_{\mu\nu}} \right) \right]_{R_{\alpha\beta}=0} = 0 \quad (69)$$

Therefore, $\tilde{\delta}$ Gravity is a finite model of gravitation if we do not have matter and cosmological constant. The finiteness of our model implies that Newton Constant does not run at all, nor with time or energy scale which would be supported by the very stringent experimental bounds set on its change [43] [44]. We must notice that this model is finite only in four dimensions because we need (66). Moreover, in more dimensions there could appear more terms in (65) that contains $R^{\mu_1\mu_2\cdots\mu_N}$ with N the dimension of space, that give a non zero contribution to the equations of motion.

In spite of these apparent successes there seems to be a problem with this model and this is the possible existence of ghosts. This issue will be dealt with in next section.

5 GHOSTS.

In this section we discuss the possibility that our model has ghosts and the lost of unitarity due to them. In order to proceed with this endeavor we first write the quadratic Lagrangian (52) for a non interacting model (this is, with the backgrounds both equal to the Minkowsky metric tensor) and calculate from it the canonical conjugated momenta to the quantum fields. It is important to notice that for the Lagrangian (52) a gauge has been chosen. Then it is possible to show that under these conditions and in this gauge, the quantum fields obey the wave equation and an expansion in plane waves is possible where the Fourier coefficients are promoted to creation and annihilation operators much in the same way as can be done for the electromagnetic potential. We use the canonical commutation relations for fields and momenta to work out the corresponding canonical commutation relations for the creation and annihilation operators. We also show first the Hamiltonian in terms of fields and momenta and then in terms of annihilation and creation operators.

To study the existence of ghosts in the model we will study small perturbations to flat space. This is done by taking expression (52) and putting the backgrounds equal to the minkowski metric $g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu}$ and $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu}$, thus obtaining:

$$S[h, \tilde{h}] = -\frac{1}{2\kappa} \int d^4x P^{((\alpha\beta)(\mu\nu))} \left(\frac{(1-\kappa_2)}{2} \partial_\rho h_{\alpha\beta} \partial^\rho h_{\mu\nu} + \kappa_2 \partial_\rho \tilde{h}_{\alpha\beta} \partial^\rho h_{\mu\nu} \right) \quad (70)$$

where now:

$$P^{((\alpha\beta)(\mu\nu))} = \frac{1}{4} (\eta^{\alpha\mu} \eta^{\beta\nu} + \eta^{\alpha\nu} \eta^{\beta\mu} - \eta^{\alpha\beta} \eta^{\mu\nu}) \quad (71)$$

and the equations of motion for the fields are:

$$\begin{aligned}\partial^2 h_{\mu\nu} &= 0 \\ \partial^2 \tilde{h}_{\mu\nu} &= 0\end{aligned}\tag{72}$$

With $\partial^2 = \eta^{\rho\lambda}\partial_\rho\partial_\lambda$. This corresponds to the wave equation with energy $E_{\mathbf{p}} = |\mathbf{p}|$. Here we notice that in order to obtain these equations, we have made use of a particular gauge fixing term (114) in the Lagrangian (52).

It is well known that for diffeomorphism invariant Lagrangian, the canonical Hamiltonian is zero. This is so in delta-gravity as well as in General Relativity: the total Hamiltonian is a linear combination of the first class constraints (See [4]). After gauge fixing, the Hamiltonian is:

$$H = \int d^3x \left(\frac{2\kappa}{\kappa_2} P_{((\alpha\beta)(\mu\nu))}^{-1} \left(\tilde{\Pi}^{\alpha\beta} \Pi^{\mu\nu} - \frac{(1-\kappa_2)}{2\kappa_2} \tilde{\Pi}^{\alpha\beta} \tilde{\Pi}^{\mu\nu} \right) + \frac{\kappa_2}{2\kappa} P^{((\alpha\beta)(\mu\nu))} \left(\partial_i \tilde{h}_{\alpha\beta} \partial_i h_{\mu\nu} + \frac{(1-\kappa_2)}{2\kappa_2} \partial_i h_{\alpha\beta} \partial_i h_{\mu\nu} \right) \right) \tag{73}$$

with:

$$P_{((\alpha\beta)(\mu\nu))}^{-1} = \eta_{\alpha\mu} \eta_{\beta\nu} + \eta_{\alpha\nu} \eta_{\beta\mu} - \eta_{\alpha\beta} \eta_{\mu\nu} = 4P_{((\alpha\beta)(\mu\nu))} \tag{74}$$

and where the conjugate momenta are:

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi^{\mu\nu} &= \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta \dot{h}_{\mu\nu}} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\kappa} P^{((\alpha\beta)(\mu\nu))} \left((1-\kappa_2) \dot{h}_{\alpha\beta} + \kappa_2 \dot{\tilde{h}}_{\alpha\beta} \right)\end{aligned}\tag{75}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\Pi}^{\mu\nu} &= \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta \dot{\tilde{h}}_{\mu\nu}} \\ &= \frac{\kappa_2}{2\kappa} P^{((\alpha\beta)(\mu\nu))} \dot{h}_{\alpha\beta}\end{aligned}\tag{76}$$

We can write our fields h y \tilde{h} the following way:

$$\begin{aligned}h_{\mu\nu}(\mathbf{x}, t) &= \int \frac{d^3p}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^3 2E_{\mathbf{p}}}} \left[\chi_{(\mu\nu)}^{(AB)}(\mathbf{p}) a_{(AB)}(\mathbf{p}) e^{ip \cdot x} + \chi_{(\mu\nu)}^{(AB)}(\mathbf{p}) a_{(AB)}^+(\mathbf{p}) e^{-ip \cdot x} \right] |_{p_0=E_{\mathbf{p}}} \\ \tilde{h}_{\mu\nu}(\mathbf{x}, t) &= \int \frac{d^3p}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^3 2E_{\mathbf{p}}}} \left[\chi_{(\mu\nu)}^{(AB)}(\mathbf{p}) \tilde{a}_{(AB)}(\mathbf{p}) e^{ip \cdot x} + \chi_{(\mu\nu)}^{(AB)}(\mathbf{p}) \tilde{a}_{(AB)}^+(\mathbf{p}) e^{-ip \cdot x} \right] |_{p_0=E_{\mathbf{p}}}\end{aligned}\tag{77}$$

where $\chi_{(\mu\nu)}^{(AB)}(\mathbf{p})$ is a polarization tensor and $a_{(AB)}(\mathbf{p})$ and $\tilde{a}_{(AB)}(\mathbf{p})$ are promoted to annihilation operators when we quantize it. $a_{(AB)}^+(\mathbf{p})$ and $\tilde{a}_{(AB)}^+(\mathbf{p})$ correspond to the creation operators. A and B are indices of polarization that work like Lorentz indices, this is, they go from 0 to 3 and are moved up and down with η^{AB} . As this indices are presented symmetrically we will have ten polarization tensors, enough to make a complete basis. For quantization of the model, we must impose the canonical commutation relations, the only non vanishing commutators are:

$$[h_{\mu\nu}(t, \mathbf{x}), \Pi^{\alpha\beta}(t, \mathbf{y})] = [\tilde{h}_{\mu\nu}(t, \mathbf{x}), \tilde{\Pi}^{\alpha\beta}(t, \mathbf{y})] = i\delta_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha\beta} \delta^3(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \tag{78}$$

when expressed using (77) the non-vanishing commutators are:

$$[a^{AB}(\mathbf{p}), \tilde{a}_{CD}^+(\mathbf{p}')] = [\tilde{a}^{AB}(\mathbf{p}), a_{CD}^+(\mathbf{p}')] = \frac{4\kappa}{\kappa_2} \delta_{CD}^{AB} \delta^3(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}') \quad (79)$$

$$[\tilde{a}^{AB}(\mathbf{p}), \tilde{a}_{CD}^+(\mathbf{p}')] = -\frac{4\kappa(1 - \kappa_2)}{\kappa_2^2} \delta_{CD}^{AB} \delta^3(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}') \quad (80)$$

there is a slight subtlety in calculating the above commutators. Basically the expression that appears at one stage of the calculus is:

$$\sum_{ABCD} \chi_{(\mu\nu)}^{(AB)} P_{(\gamma\epsilon)}^{(\alpha\beta)} \chi_{CD}^{(\gamma\epsilon)} = \sum_{ABCD} \chi_{(\mu\nu)}^{(AB)} \frac{1}{2} \delta_{(\gamma\epsilon)}^{(\alpha\beta)} \chi_{CD}^{(\gamma\epsilon)} - \frac{1}{4} \eta^{\alpha\beta} \chi_{(\mu\nu)}^{(AB)} Tr(\chi) \quad (81)$$

and since we have the completeness relation:

$$\sum_{ABCD} \chi_{(\mu\nu)}^{(AB)} \chi_{(CD)}^{(\alpha\beta)} \delta_{(AB)}^{(CD)} = \delta_{(\mu\nu)}^{(\alpha\beta)} \quad (82)$$

we must impose $Tr(\chi) = 0$ which in turn means that $Tr(h) = Tr(\tilde{h}) = 0$. This can always be done because the Gauge fixing being used does not fix entirely the gauge freedom and this further condition can be imposed (See [45]).

The Hamiltonian expressed in terms of creation and annihilation operators is:

$$H = \int \frac{d^3p}{4\kappa} E_{\mathbf{p}} ((1 - \kappa_2) a_{AB}^+ a^{AB} + \kappa_2 a_{AB}^+ \tilde{a}^{AB} + \kappa_2 \tilde{a}_{AB}^+ a^{AB}) \quad (83)$$

where we have subtracted an infinite constant. Looking at this Hamiltonian we notice that it has cross products of operators, which obscures its physical interpretation. Something analogous happens when we observe the commutators (79) and (80) and so it is difficult to define their action over states. Because of this is that we redefine our annihilation (and therefore also the creation) operators, for this, we return to our action (70) and define:

$$\begin{aligned} h_{\mu\nu} &= A \bar{h}_{\mu\nu}^1 + B \bar{h}_{\mu\nu}^2 \\ \tilde{h}_{\mu\nu} &= C \bar{h}_{\mu\nu}^1 + D \bar{h}_{\mu\nu}^2 \end{aligned} \quad (84)$$

where A, B, C and D are real constants so that the new fields, \bar{h}^1 and \bar{h}^2 , are real fields. When replacing this in (70) we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} S[\bar{h}^1, \bar{h}^2] &= \frac{1}{2\kappa} \int d^4x P^{((\alpha\beta)(\mu\nu))} \left(\frac{A}{2} (A - \kappa_2 A + 2\kappa_2 C) \bar{h}_{\alpha\beta}^1 \partial^2 \bar{h}_{\mu\nu}^1 + \frac{B}{2} (B - \kappa_2 B + 2\kappa_2 D) \bar{h}_{\alpha\beta}^2 \partial^2 \bar{h}_{\mu\nu}^2 \right) \\ &\quad + P^{((\alpha\beta)(\mu\nu))} (AB - \kappa_2 AB + \kappa_2 AD + \kappa_2 BC) \bar{h}_{\alpha\beta}^1 \partial^2 \bar{h}_{\mu\nu}^2 \end{aligned} \quad (85)$$

with the objective of decoupling the new fields, we make null the last term in (85). It can be demonstrated that imposing the above criteria, it is inevitable that one (and only one) of two fields will be a ghost. We make the choice of \bar{h}^2 as the corresponding ghost. Taking the above considerations plus the condition that (85) to have the usual form of an action with real fields, we impose that the coefficients of the first and second terms in it to be $\frac{1}{2}$ and $-\frac{1}{2}$ respectively. This mean:

$$\begin{aligned} A &= B \\ C &= \frac{1 - (1 - \kappa_2)B^2}{2\kappa_2 B} \\ D &= -\frac{1 + (1 - \kappa_2)B^2}{2\kappa_2 B} \end{aligned} \quad (86)$$

where B is left as an arbitrary real constant. Here we make the point that if we had chosen \bar{h}^1 as the ghost then, the real constants change such that $C \leftrightarrow D$.

Then, the action we are left finally is:

$$S[\bar{h}^1, \bar{h}^2] = \frac{1}{2\kappa} \int d^4x P^{((\alpha\beta)(\mu\nu))} \left(\frac{1}{2} \bar{h}_{\alpha\beta}^1 \partial^2 \bar{h}_{\mu\nu}^1 - \frac{1}{2} \bar{h}_{\alpha\beta}^2 \partial^2 \bar{h}_{\mu\nu}^2 \right) \quad (87)$$

Following this same line of reasoning we can find the destruction operators for \bar{h}^1 and \bar{h}^2 :

$$b_{AB}^1(\vec{p}) = \frac{1 + B^2(1 - \kappa_2)}{2B} a_{AB}(\vec{p}) + \kappa_2 B \tilde{a}_{AB}(\vec{p}) \quad (88)$$

$$b_{AB}^2(\vec{p}) = \frac{1 - B^2(1 - \kappa_2)}{2B} a_{AB}(\vec{p}) - \kappa_2 B \tilde{a}_{AB}(\vec{p}) \quad (89)$$

where we have used (84). It can be verified that the only non vanishing commutators are now:

$$[b^{1(AB)}(\vec{p}), b_{CD}^{1+}(\vec{p}')] = 4\kappa \delta_{CD}^{AB} \delta^3(\vec{p} - \vec{p}') \quad (90)$$

$$[b^{2(AB)}(\vec{p}), b_{CD}^{2+}(\vec{p}')] = -4\kappa \delta_{CD}^{AB} \delta^3(\vec{p} - \vec{p}') \quad (91)$$

These commutators indicate that b^1 and b^2 have a vanishing inner product and that b^2 is the annihilation operator for the ghost. On the other hand, the Hamiltonian expressed in terms of these operators is:

$$H = \int \frac{d^3p}{4\kappa} E_{\mathbf{p}} (b_{AB}^{1+} b^{1AB} - b_{AB}^{2+} b^{2AB}) \quad (92)$$

Due to the existence of the ghost is possible that this model will not be unitarity. To analyze this in greater depth it is necessary to do a more profound study of the S -Matrix, but to do this for gravitation is a colossal task that would takes us beyond the original scope of this paper. On the other side, the existence of ghost or phantom fields have been proposed by some authors to explain the accelerated expansion of the universe [27] [28] [29] [30]

[31] a feature that our model presents [26]. The problem with these models are that when they are quantized either there is a lost of unitarity or there are negative energy which mean lost of stability. Looking at (87) we find that the propagators of \bar{h}^1 and \bar{h}^2 are respectively:

$$-2\kappa P_{((\alpha\beta)(\mu\nu))}^{-1} \frac{i}{p^2 - i\varepsilon} \quad (93)$$

$$2\kappa P_{((\alpha\beta)(\mu\nu))}^{-1} \frac{i}{p^2 \pm i\varepsilon} \quad (94)$$

where \pm in the phantom propagator, \bar{h}^2 , will decide whether unitarity and negative energy solutions or nonunitary and positive energy solutions will be present in the model [30].

The advantage that our model has against other models that use scalar fields for the phantoms is that being a gauge model, there remains open the possibility of fixing a gauge in which the model is unitary keeping the model good attributes, as in the BRST canonical quantization [46]. On the other hand as possible solution to case of instability, we may consider $\tilde{\delta}$ Supergravity which may solve the unboundedness from below of the Hamiltonian. The last argument comes from the fact that in supersymmetry one defines the hamiltonian as the squared of an hermitian charge, making it positive definite [47] [48].

Having explained the problem that our model has, now we would like to discuss the new physics that our model may predict. For this, we will analyze the type of some finite quantum corrections and how the most simplest ones affect the equations of motion of the model.

6 Finite Quantum Corrections.

The finite quantum corrections to our modified model of gravity can be separated into two groups. The first are the non-local terms, which are characterized by the presence of a logarithm, in the form [36]:

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{-g} R_{\mu\nu} \ln \left(\frac{\nabla^2}{\mu^2} \right) R^{\mu\nu} \\ \sqrt{-g} R \ln \left(\frac{\nabla^2}{\mu^2} \right) R \end{aligned} \quad (95)$$

where $\nabla^2 = g^{\alpha\beta} \nabla_\alpha \nabla_\beta$, ∇_β being the covariant derivative. There are no terms like the above ones but quadratic in the Riemann tensor because these terms always occurs like:

$$\frac{1}{\epsilon} + \ln \left(\frac{\nabla^2}{\mu^2} \right) \quad (96)$$

and it is known that the terms that appear with the pole are purely Ricci tensors and Ricci scalars [3] [21] (see eq. (67) too), which in turn is due to (66). Now, when looking at the quantum corrections and Eq. (68), we need to care about the variations of (95) with respect to $g_{\mu\nu}$. Taking this into consideration, for the non local terms we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta(\sqrt{-g}) R_{\mu\nu} \ln\left(\frac{\nabla^2}{\mu^2}\right) R^{\mu\nu} &= 0 \\
\sqrt{-g} R_{\mu\nu} \delta\left(\ln\left(\frac{\nabla^2}{\mu^2}\right) R^{\mu\nu}\right) &= 0 \\
\sqrt{-g} \delta(R_{\mu\nu}) \ln\left(\frac{\nabla^2}{\mu^2}\right) R^{\mu\nu} &= 0 \\
\delta(\sqrt{-g}) R \ln\left(\frac{\nabla^2}{\mu^2}\right) R &= 0 \\
\sqrt{-g} R \delta\left(\ln\left(\frac{\nabla^2}{\mu^2}\right) R\right) &= 0 \\
\sqrt{-g} \delta(R) \ln\left(\frac{\nabla^2}{\mu^2}\right) R &= 0
\end{aligned} \tag{97}$$

because our model lives on shell, i.e. $R_{\mu\nu} \equiv 0$ and $R \equiv 0$. So we see that the only relevant quantum corrections will come from the second group, that is, from the local terms which corresponds to a series expansion in powers of the curvature tensor. The linear term is basically R , which corresponds to the original action, and the quadratic terms when taking into account their contribution is null due to (66). The next terms to consider are cubic in the Riemann tensor. In principle any power of the curvature tensor will appear, but we want to discuss now only the cubic ones because they are the simpler to be dealt with [33]. The most general form of these corrections is:

$$L_Q^{fin} = \sqrt{-g} (c_1 R_{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} R^{\alpha\beta\lambda\sigma} R^{\mu\nu}_{\alpha\beta} + c_2 R^{\mu\nu}_{\lambda\sigma} R_{\mu\alpha}^{\lambda\beta} R^{\alpha\sigma}_{\nu\beta} + c_3 R_{\mu\nu} R^{\mu\alpha\beta\gamma} R^{\nu}_{\alpha\beta\gamma} + c_4 R R_{\mu\nu\lambda\kappa} R^{\mu\nu\lambda\kappa}) \tag{98}$$

These type of corrections will affect the equations of motion for $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$. So, using (41) we obtain:

$$F^{(\mu\nu)(\alpha\beta)\rho\lambda} D_\rho D_\lambda \tilde{g}_{\alpha\beta} = -\frac{1}{\kappa_2} (M^{(\mu\nu)} + c_1 N^{(\mu\nu)} + c_2 B^{(\mu\nu)} + 3 \{D_\rho, D_\sigma\} E^{[\sigma\mu][\nu\rho]}) \tag{99}$$

with:

$$M^{(\mu\nu)} = \frac{1}{2} (D_\alpha D^\nu A^{(\alpha\mu)} + D_\alpha D^\mu A^{(\alpha\nu)} - D_\alpha D^\alpha A^{(\mu\nu)} - g^{\mu\nu} D_\alpha D_\beta A^{(\alpha\beta)}) \tag{100}$$

$$A^{(\mu\nu)} = c_3 R^{\mu\alpha\beta\gamma} R^{\nu}_{\alpha\beta\gamma} + c_4 g^{\mu\nu} R^{\alpha\beta\gamma\epsilon} R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\epsilon} \tag{101}$$

$$N^{(\mu\nu)} = \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} R_{\rho\epsilon\lambda\sigma} R^{\lambda\sigma\alpha\beta} R_{\alpha\beta}^{\rho\epsilon} + 3 R_{\rho\epsilon\lambda\sigma} R_\alpha^{\nu\epsilon\rho} R^{\alpha\mu\lambda\sigma} \tag{102}$$

$$B^{(\mu\nu)} = \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} R_{\rho\epsilon\lambda\sigma} R^{\rho\alpha\lambda\beta} R_{\alpha\beta}^{\sigma\epsilon} + 3 R_{\rho\epsilon\lambda\sigma} R^{\nu\sigma\rho}_{\beta} R^{\mu\epsilon\beta\lambda} \tag{103}$$

$$E^{[\sigma\mu][\nu\rho]} = c_1 R^{\sigma\mu}_{\alpha\beta} R^{\alpha\beta\nu\rho} + \frac{1}{2} c_2 (R^{\nu}_{\alpha}{}^{\sigma}_{\beta} R^{\rho\beta\alpha\mu} - R^{\rho}_{\alpha}{}^{\sigma}_{\beta} R^{\nu\beta\alpha\mu}) \tag{104}$$

where $[\mu\nu]$ that μ and ν are in a antisymmetric combination, and $F^{(\mu\nu)(\alpha\beta)\rho\lambda}$ was defined in (45). Obviously, if we do not have Quantum correction, i.e: $c_1 = c_2 = c_3 = c_4 = 0$, (99) is transformed in (46). It is possible to demonstrate that one solution to (46) is $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu}$, a fact that is necessary so that the predictions of the original theory of Einstein-Hilbert still are fulfilled in vacuum. This means, the solution of (99) must come to be small

perturbations to $g_{\mu\nu}$.

$\tilde{\delta}$ Gravity will provide finite answers for the constants c_i . Due to the general structure of the finite quantum corrections, they will be relevant only at very short distances and strong curvatures. So the natural scenario to test the predictions of the model is the Inflationary Epoch of The Universe. The computation of the c_i and the phenomenological implications of Quantum $\tilde{\delta}$ Gravity will be discussed elsewhere.

CONCLUSIONS.

We have shown following [25] that the $\tilde{\delta}$ transformation, applied to any theory, produce physical models that live only at one loop. This is achieved introducing new fields that generate a new constrain through a functional Dirac's delta inside the path integral (34). We have seen that the original symmetries are generalized when we apply the $\tilde{\delta}$ transformation. Moreover, the modified model is invariant under the generalized symmetries.

Now, going to $\tilde{\delta}$ Gravity we calculated the divergent part of the action to one loop and we obtained twice the well known result of [3]. We see that this factor of two appears also in [25]. The divergent part at one loop is zero in the absence of matter and on shell, so $\tilde{\delta}$ Gravity is a finite quantum model, in four dimensional space-time. This in turn implies that Newton Gravitational Constant does not run with scale, which agrees with the very stringent experimental bounds that restrict its variation [43] [44].

We have shown that perturbing around the Minkowsky vacuum and using a particular Lorentz invariant gauge, we can redefine the gravitational fields in such a way that the free part of the action is decoupled. In this re-definition it is seen that one of the new fields is a ghost. In spite of that this may bring unitary or unstable problems (negative energies), these ghosts (phantoms) can explain at a classical level the accelerated expansion of the universe [26]. Scalar phantoms has been introduced in order to explain Dark Energy in [27] and discussed in many papers. See for instance, [28] [29] [30] [31]. This connection may be far reaching because the phantom idea has gained great popularity as an alternative to the cosmological constant. The present model could provide an arena to study the quantum properties of a phantom field, since the model has a finite quantum Effective Action. In this respect, the advantage of the present model is that, being a gauge model, could give us the possibility to solve the problem of lack of unitarity using standard techniques of gauge theories as the BRST method. This is something that need to be studied further but go beyond the original scope of this paper.

We want to point out that Supergravity with matter is finite at one Loop level [32]. According to the general argument developed in this paper, $\tilde{\delta}$ Supergravity will be a one Loop model which have a strong possibility to be a finite quantum model of gravity plus matter and also it may solve the instability of negative energies since in supersymmetry one has an hermitian charge whose square is equal to the Hamiltonian operator meaning that the Hamiltonian is positive definite [47] [48].

Finally we have shown that the contribution of quadratic local and non-local logarithmic terms are zero due to the on-shell condition of the modified model. We have also shown how the cubic corrections in the Riemann tensor affect the equation of motion (99). Given the general form of the quantum corrections in quantum $\tilde{\delta}$ Gravity, they may be important during the Inflationary Epoch of the Universe.

Acknowledgments

R. A. wants to thank partial funding given by the Department of Physics at PUC and to the VRAID scholarship of PUC. PG acknowledges support from Beca Doctoral Conicyt # 21080490. The work of JA is partially supported by VRAID/DID/46/2010 and Fondecyt 1110378. Special thanks are given to J. Gamboa for carefully reading of the manuscript.

APPENDIX A: BRST Formalism.

First we give the BRST transformations $\bar{\delta}$ of our model:

$$\begin{aligned}\xi_0^\mu(x) &= \lambda c_0^\mu(x) \\ \xi_1^\mu(x) &= \lambda c_1^\mu(x)\end{aligned}\tag{105}$$

where λ is a Grassmann constant and c_0^μ, c_1^μ are the two ghosts of our model. Starting from the gauge transformations for our quantum fields $h_{\mu\nu}$ and $\tilde{h}_{\mu\nu}$ [49], we obtain to zero order in h and \tilde{h} :

$$\bar{\delta} h_{\mu\nu} = c_{0\mu;\nu} + c_{0\nu;\mu}\tag{106}$$

$$\bar{\delta} \tilde{h}_{\mu\nu} = c_{1\mu;\nu} + c_{1\nu;\mu} + \tilde{g}_{\mu\nu;\lambda} c_0^\lambda + \tilde{g}_{\mu\lambda} c_{0;\nu}^\lambda + \tilde{g}_{\nu\lambda} c_{0;\mu}^\lambda\tag{107}$$

we also have:

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\delta} c_0^\mu &= c_0^\rho c_{0,\rho}^\mu \\ \bar{\delta} c_1^\mu &= c_0^\rho c_{1,\rho}^\mu + c_1^\rho c_{0,\rho}^\mu \\ \bar{\delta} \bar{c}_0^\mu &= i b_0^\mu(x) \\ \bar{\delta} \bar{c}_1^\mu &= i b_1^\mu(x)\end{aligned}\tag{108}$$

for the corresponding anti-ghosts \bar{c} and where the b 's are the auxiliary Nakanishi-Lautrup fields which satisfy:

$$\bar{\delta} b_{0,1}^\mu = 0\tag{109}$$

It has been verified that these transformations are nilpotent. Now, we choose for our gauge fixing term:

$$\text{GF} = -\sqrt{-g} \frac{C^2}{2} - \tilde{\delta} \left(\kappa_2 \sqrt{-g} \frac{C^2}{2} \right)\tag{110}$$

we see that this is a good choice for our gauge fixing since it is invariant under both transformations δ_0 and δ_1 (see **2.1**), where [3] [21]:

$$\begin{aligned}C^2 &= g^{\alpha\beta} C_\alpha C_\beta \\ C_\mu &= D_\nu h_\mu^\nu - \frac{1}{2} D_\mu h_\nu^\nu\end{aligned}\tag{111}$$

In this way we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{GF} &= -\sqrt{-g} \left[\left(1 + \frac{\kappa_2}{2} g^{\alpha\beta} \tilde{g}_{\alpha\beta} \right) \frac{C^2}{2} + \kappa_2 \tilde{\delta} \left(\frac{g^{\mu\rho} C_\mu C_\rho}{2} \right) \right] \\ &= -\sqrt{-g} \left[\left(1 + \frac{\kappa_2}{2} g^{\alpha\beta} \tilde{g}_{\alpha\beta} \right) \frac{C_\mu C^\mu}{2} + \kappa_2 \left(\tilde{C}_\mu C^\mu - \frac{\tilde{g}_{\mu\beta} C^\mu C^\beta}{2} \right) \right]\end{aligned}\tag{112}$$

where:

$$\tilde{C}_\mu = \tilde{\delta} C_\mu = \tilde{\delta} \left[D_\nu h_\mu^\nu - \frac{1}{2} D_\mu h_\nu^\nu \right] = g^{\nu\rho} \left[\nabla_\nu \tilde{h}_{\rho\mu} - \frac{1}{2} \nabla_\mu \tilde{h}_{\rho\nu} \right] - \tilde{g}^{\nu\rho} \left[D_\nu h_{\rho\mu} - \frac{1}{2} D_\mu h_{\rho\nu} \right] \quad (113)$$

this can be written in the form:

$$\text{GF} = -\sqrt{-g} H_\mu C^\mu \quad (114)$$

with:

$$H_\mu = \left[\left(1 + \frac{\kappa_2}{2} \tilde{g}_\alpha^\alpha \right) \frac{C_\mu}{2} + \kappa_2 \left(\tilde{C}_\mu - \tilde{g}_{\mu\beta} \frac{C^\beta}{2} \right) \right] \quad (115)$$

Having established the form of the gauge fixing term we can now by a standard procedure (the BRST method) find the associated Faddeev Popov lagrangian. Following [37], now we do:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{GF+FP}} = -i\bar{\delta}(P) \quad (116)$$

where P in our case is:

$$P = \bar{c}_0^\mu H_\mu + \bar{c}_1^\mu C_\mu + \beta_1 \bar{c}_1^\mu b_{0\mu} + \beta_2 \bar{c}_0^\mu b_{1\mu} \quad (117)$$

where the β 's are arbitrary constants to be fixed shortly, so we have:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{GF+FP}} = -i(ib_0^\mu H_\mu + ib_1^\mu C_\mu + i(\beta_1 + \beta_2)b_1^\mu b_{0\mu} - \bar{c}_0^\mu(\bar{\delta}H_\mu) - \bar{c}_1^\mu(\bar{\delta}C_\mu)) \quad (118)$$

and so:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{GF}} = b_0^\mu H_\mu + b_1^\mu C_\mu + (\beta_1 + \beta_2)b_1^\mu b_{0\mu} \quad (119)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{FP}} = i(\bar{c}_0^\mu(\bar{\delta}H_\mu) + \bar{c}_1^\mu(\bar{\delta}C_\mu)) \quad (120)$$

Now, for the gauge fixing part, we can use the equations of motion for the auxiliary fields to make them disappear,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_{\text{GF}}}{\partial b_1^\mu} &= C_\mu + (\beta_1 + \beta_2)b_{0\mu} = 0 \longrightarrow b_{0\mu} = -\frac{C_\mu}{(\beta_1 + \beta_2)} \\ \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_{\text{GF}}}{\partial b_0^\mu} &= H_\mu + (\beta_1 + \beta_2)b_{1\mu} = 0 \longrightarrow b_{1\mu} = -\frac{H_\mu}{(\beta_1 + \beta_2)} \end{aligned} \quad (121)$$

substituting in \mathcal{L}_{GF} we get:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{GF}} = -\frac{C^\mu H_\mu}{(\beta_1 + \beta_2)} - \frac{C^\mu H_\mu}{(\beta_1 + \beta_2)} + \frac{(\beta_1 + \beta_2)C^\mu H_\mu}{(\beta_1 + \beta_2)^2} = -\frac{C^\mu H_\mu}{(\beta_1 + \beta_2)} \quad (122)$$

so we see we recover our initial gauge fixing if we set $(\beta_1 + \beta_2) = 1$. Now for the Faddeev Popov lagrangian we have:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{FP}} = i \left(\bar{c}_0^\mu (\bar{\delta} H_\mu) + \bar{c}_1^\mu (\bar{\delta} C_\mu) \right) \quad (123)$$

it is well known that [3] [21]:

$$\bar{\delta} C_\mu = D_\nu D^\nu c_{0\mu} + R_{\mu\nu} c_0^\nu \quad (124)$$

and using:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\delta} h_{\nu\rho} &= D_\nu c_{0\rho} + D_\rho c_{0\nu} \\ \bar{\delta} \tilde{h}_{\nu\rho} &= \nabla_\nu c_{1\rho} + \nabla_\rho c_{1\nu} \end{aligned} \quad (125)$$

we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\delta} H_\mu &= \left[\left(1 + \frac{\kappa_2}{2} \tilde{g}_\alpha^\alpha \right) \frac{\bar{\delta} C_\mu}{2} + \kappa_2 \left(\bar{\delta} \tilde{C}_\mu - \tilde{g}_{\mu\beta} \frac{\bar{\delta} C^\beta}{2} \right) \right] \\ \bar{\delta} \tilde{C}_\mu &= \nabla_\nu \nabla^\nu c_{1\mu} + R_{\mu\nu} c_1^\nu - g^{\rho\nu} \tilde{\delta} (R^\alpha_{\rho\nu\mu}) c_{0\alpha} - \tilde{g}^{\nu\rho} [D_\nu D_\rho c_{0\mu} + c_{0\sigma} R^\sigma_{\rho\mu\nu}] \end{aligned} \quad (126)$$

So, evaluating in (123), we will obtain (59).

APPENDIX B: Background Field Method.

The Background Field Method (BFM) is a mechanism utilized to calculate the effective action at any order of perturbation theory without losing explicit gauge invariance. This simplifies the calculations and the comprehension of the model. The importance of the Effective Action is due to the fact that it contains all the quantum information of the theory and that from it all One-Particle-Irreducible (1PI) Feynman diagrams can be computed. Stringing them together, we can compute all connected Feynman diagrams in a more efficient manner [38] and from them the S- matrix can be calculated.

Next we calculate the effective action Γ for a general model using the BFM. One begins by defining the generating functional of disconnected diagrams $Z[J]$:

$$Z[J] = \int \mathcal{D}\varphi e^{i(S[\varphi] + J \cdot \varphi)} \quad (127)$$

where S is the action of the system and where we will be using the notation $J \cdot \varphi \equiv \int J\varphi d^4x$. In the background field method we do the identification $\varphi \rightarrow \varphi + \phi$ inside the action, where ϕ is an arbitrary background. So now we have:

$$\hat{Z}[J, \phi] = \int \mathcal{D}\varphi e^{i(S[\varphi + \phi] + J \cdot \varphi)} \quad (128)$$

Now the generating functional of connected diagrams $W[J]$ is:

$$W[J] = -i \ln Z[J] \quad (129)$$

so we define:

$$\hat{W}[J, \phi] = -i \ln \hat{Z}[J, \phi] \quad (130)$$

and

$$\bar{\varphi} = \frac{\delta W}{\delta J} \quad (131)$$

so here

$$\hat{\varphi} = \frac{\delta \hat{W}}{\delta J} \quad (132)$$

with all these definitions it is possible to give the formula for the usual Effective Action:

$$\Gamma[\bar{\varphi}] = W[J] - J \cdot \bar{\varphi} \quad (133)$$

and the background field Effective Action:

$$\hat{\Gamma}[\hat{\varphi}, \phi] = \hat{W}[J, \phi] - J \cdot \hat{\varphi} \quad (134)$$

now we do the shift $\varphi \rightarrow \varphi - \phi$ so that:

$$\hat{Z}[J, \phi] = Z[J]e^{-iJ \cdot \phi} \quad (135)$$

from which it follows (after taking logarithms):

$$\hat{W}[J, \phi] = W[J] - J \cdot \phi \quad (136)$$

taking now the functional derivative with respect to J :

$$\hat{\varphi} = \bar{\varphi} - \phi \quad (137)$$

but now we can appreciate that:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\Gamma}[\hat{\varphi}, \phi] &= W[J] - J \cdot \phi - J \cdot \hat{\varphi} \\ &= W[J] - J \cdot \phi - J \cdot (\bar{\varphi} - \phi) \\ &= W[J] - J \cdot \bar{\varphi} \\ \hat{\Gamma}[\hat{\varphi}, \phi] &= \Gamma[\hat{\varphi} + \phi] \end{aligned} \quad (138)$$

In particular if we take $\hat{\varphi} = 0$, we have:

$$\hat{\Gamma}[0, \phi] = \Gamma[\phi] \quad (139)$$

This means that the Effective Action of the theory Γ can be computed from the background field Effective Action $\hat{\Gamma}$ by taking the quantum field to zero and with the presence of the background ϕ . Since the derivatives of the Effective Action with respect to the fields generate the 1PI diagrams, the last equation means that if we treat ϕ perturbatively what we will have will be diagrams with external legs corresponding to the background field ϕ and with internal lines corresponding to the quantum field φ .

And so, to study the quantum effects it only suffices to do an expansion in the quantum fields in the action S or in the lagrangian L using the identification of the Background Field Method. This means:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_I &\rightarrow \phi_I + \varphi_I \\ \tilde{\phi}_I &\rightarrow \tilde{\phi}_I + \tilde{\varphi}_I \end{aligned} \quad (140)$$

We use (140) in $\tilde{\delta}$ Gravity, where $g_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow g_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu}$ and $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow \tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} + \tilde{h}_{\mu\nu}$.

APPENDIX C: Divergent Part of the Effective Action at One Loop.

As was mentioned in **Section 3** there are various ways to calculate the divergent part of the effective action at one loop, but they are quite complicated. So, we have resolved to follow an algorithm developed in [21].

The Effective Action Γ to one loop can be written as:

$$\Gamma[\phi] = S[\phi] + \frac{i}{2}\hbar \text{Tr} \ln D + O(\hbar^2) \quad (141)$$

where:

$$D_i^j = \frac{\delta^2 S}{\delta \phi_i \delta \phi_j}[\phi] \quad (142)$$

is a differential operator depending on the background field ϕ_i . Its most general form is:

$$\begin{aligned} D_i^j &= K^{\mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_L} \nabla_i^j \nabla_{\mu_1} \nabla_{\mu_2} \dots \nabla_{\mu_L} + S^{\mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_{L-1}} \nabla_i^j \nabla_{\mu_1} \nabla_{\mu_2} \dots \nabla_{\mu_{L-1}} \\ &+ W^{\mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_{L-2}} \nabla_i^j \nabla_{\mu_1} \nabla_{\mu_2} \dots \nabla_{\mu_{L-2}} + N^{\mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_{L-3}} \nabla_i^j \nabla_{\mu_1} \nabla_{\mu_2} \dots \nabla_{\mu_{L-3}} \\ &+ M^{\mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_{L-4}} \nabla_i^j \nabla_{\mu_1} \nabla_{\mu_2} \dots \nabla_{\mu_{L-4}} + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (143)$$

where K, S, W, N, M are parameters which must be specify for each model and ∇_μ is a covariant derivative:

$$\nabla_\alpha T_i^{\beta j} = \partial_\alpha T_i^{\beta j} + \Gamma_{\alpha\gamma}^\beta T_i^{\gamma j} + \omega_\alpha^k T_k^{\beta j} - \omega_\alpha^j T_i^{\beta k} \quad (144)$$

$$\nabla_\mu \Phi_i = \partial_\mu \Phi_i + \omega_\mu^j \Phi_j \quad (145)$$

here:

$$\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\alpha = \frac{1}{2} g^{\alpha\beta} (\partial_\mu g_{\nu\beta} + \partial_\nu g_{\mu\beta} - \partial_\beta g_{\mu\nu}) \quad (146)$$

and ω_μ^j is a connection on the principle bundle. The computation of the divergent part of Effective Action at one loop is done through a lengthy and cumbersome calculation that consist in the sum of a finite number of one loop divergent Feynman diagrams, the details are given in [21] and the result by equation (30) in the same reference. This last result is too large to show here, but it depends on the parameters involved in D_i^j (143). So basically, what we need is the quadratic part of the lagrangian of the model to obtain the divergent part of the effective action.

In $\tilde{\delta}$ Gravity, we have:

$$\phi_i \rightarrow \vec{h}_{(\alpha\beta)} \quad (147)$$

where \vec{h} is defined in (53). As the covariant derivative acting on \vec{h} is given by (57) this means $i \rightarrow (\alpha\beta)$:

$$\omega_{\mu i}^j \rightarrow -([\Gamma_{\mu\alpha}^{\rho}]\delta_{\beta}^{\nu} + [\Gamma_{\mu\beta}^{\rho}]\delta_{\alpha}^{\nu}) \quad (148)$$

where $[\Gamma_{\mu\alpha}^{\rho}]$ is given by equation (58). The other relevant parameters in our model are given by:

$$L = 2$$

$$K^{\mu_1\mu_2\cdots\mu_L}{}_i^j \text{ given by (54).}$$

$$S^{\mu_1\mu_2\cdots\mu_{L-1}}{}_i^j = 0.$$

$$W^{\mu_1\mu_2\cdots\mu_{L-2}}{}_i^j \text{ given by (55).}$$

On the other side, to the Faddeev Popov ghosts we have:

$$\phi_i \rightarrow \vec{c}_{\alpha} \quad (149)$$

where \vec{c}_{α} is defined by (60) and the Covariant Derivative (63) says us that:

$$\omega_{\mu i}^j \rightarrow -[\Gamma_{\mu\alpha}^{\rho}] \quad (150)$$

Finally, the other parameters are given by:

$$L = 2$$

$$K^{\mu_1\mu_2\cdots\mu_L}{}_i^j \text{ given by (61).}$$

$$S^{\mu_1\mu_2\cdots\mu_{L-1}}{}_i^j = 0.$$

$$W^{\mu_1\mu_2\cdots\mu_{L-2}}{}_i^j \text{ given by (62).}$$

References

- [1] S. Weinberg. *Gravitation and Cosmology: Principles and Applications of the General Theory of Relativity*. John Wiley and Sons, Inc. 1 edition, 1972; C.W. Misner, K.S. Thorne, J.A. Wheeler. *Gravitation*. W.H. Freeman and Company, twenty third printing 2000.
- [2] C. M. Will, *The Confrontation between General Relativity and Experiment*, Living Rev. Relativity 9, (2006), <http://www.livingreviews.org/lrr-2006-3>.
- [3] G. 'tHooft and M. Veltman. *Annales de l'I.H.P. Section A*, tome 20 (1974), page 69-94.
- [4] B. DeWitt. *Physical Review*. Vol 160 (1967), page 1113-1148.
- [5] M.B. Green, J.H. Schwarz and E. Witten. *Super String Theory*. Vols 1,2. Cambridge University Press 1987.
- [6] J. Polchinski. *Super String Theory*. Vols 1,2. Cambridge University Press 1998.
- [7] See for instance, C. Rovelli. *Quantum Gravity*. Cambridge University Press 2007, and references therein.
- [8] R. Penrose and W. Rindler. *Spinor and Space-Time, Spinor and Twistor Method in Space-Time Geometry*. Vol 2. Cambridge University Press 1988.
- [9] A. Connes. *Noncommutative Geometry*. Academic Press 1994.
- [10] M. R. Douglas. JHEP05(2003)046; J. Kumar. *International Journal of Modern Physics A*. Vol 21 (2006), page 34413472, and references therein.
- [11] See J. F. Barbero and E. Villaseñor. *Classical Quantum Gravity*. Vol 26 (2009), 035017. And references therein.
- [12] A. Ashtekar, L. Bombelli and A. Corichi. *Physical Review D*. Vol 72 (2005), 025008.
- [13] S. Weinberg. *General Relativity: An Einstein centenary survey*. Edited by S. W.Hawking and W.Israel. Cambridge University Press, 1979. Chapter 16, page 790.
- [14] Ya.B. Zeldovich, JETP Lett., 6, 316 (1967); A. Sakharov, SOv. Phys. Dokl., 12, 1040 (1968); O. Klein, Phys. Scr. 9, 69 (1974); S. Adler, Rev. Mod. Phys., 54, 729 (1982).
- [15] D.F. Litim, Phys.Rev.Lett.92:201301,2004; AIP Conf. Proc. 841, 322 (2006); e-Print: arXiv:0810.367; A. Codello, R. Percacci and C. Rahmede, Annals Phys.324:414-469,2009; M. Reuter and F. Saueressig, Lectures given at First Quantum Geometry and Quantum Gravity School, Zakopane, Poland (2007),arXiv:0708.1317
- [16] J. Ambjorn, J. Jurkiewicz and R. Loll. *Physical Review Letters*. Vol 85 (2000), page 924 – 927.
- [17] J. Alfaro, D. Espriu and D. Puigdomenech. *Physical Review D*. Vol 82 (2010), 045018.
- [18] C.J. Isham, A. Salam and J.A. Strathdee. *Annals Phys*. Vol 62 (1971), page 98 – 119.
- [19] A.B. Borisov and V.I. Ogievetsky. Theor.Math.Phys.21:1179,1975; E.A. Ivanov and V.I. Ogievetsky. Lett.Math.Phys.1:309-313,1976.
- [20] D. Amati and J. Russo. *Physics Letters B*. Vol 248 (1990), page 44 – 50; J. Russo. *Physics Letters B*. Vol 254 (1991), page 61 – 65; A. Hebecker, C. Wetterich. *Physics Letters B*. Vol 574 (2003), page 269 – 275; C. Wetterich. *Physical Review D*. Vol 70 (2004), 105004.

- [21] Petr I. Pronin and Konstantin V. Stepanyantz. Nuclear Physics B. Vol 485 (1997), page 517-544.
- [22] Marc H. Goroff and Augusto Sagnotti. Physics Letters B. Vol 160 (1985), page 81-86.
- [23] Marc H. Goroff and Augusto Sagnotti. Nuclear Physics B. Vol 266 (1986), page 709-736.
- [24] Anton E.M. van de Ven. Nuclear Physics B. Vol 378 (1992), page 309-366.
- [25] J. Alfaro, bv gauge theories, hep-th 9702060; Jorge Alfaro and Pedro Labraña. Physical Review D. Vol 65 (2002), 045002.
- [26] J. Alfaro. arXiv:1006.5765v1 [gr-qc]. June 30, 2010.
- [27] R.R. Caldwell. Physics Letters B. Vol 545 (2002), page 23-29.
- [28] R. R. Caldwell, Marc Kamionkowski and Nevin N.Weinberg. Physical Review Letters. Vol 91 (2003), 071301.
- [29] S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov. Physics Letters B. Vol 562 (2003), page 147-152.
- [30] J. M. Cline, S. Jeon and G. D. Moore. Physical Review D. Vol 70 (2004), 043543.
- [31] G. W. Gibbons. arXiv:hep-th/0302199v1 (2008).
- [32] M. T. Grisaru, P. van Nieuwenhuizen and J. A. M. Vermaseren. Physical Review Letters. Vol 37 (1976), page 1662-1666.
- [33] Ming Lu and Mark B. Wise. Physical Review D. Third series, Vol 47 (1993), page R3095-R3098.
- [34] A. Dobado and A. Lopez. Physics Letters B. Vol 316 (1993), page 250-256.
- [35] A. Dobado and A. L. Maroto. Physical Review D. Vol 52 (1995), page 1895-1901.
- [36] J.A. Cabrer and D. Espriu. Physics Letters B. Vol 663 (2008), page 361-366.
- [37] T. Kugo and S. Uehara. Nuclear Physics B. Vol 197 (1982), page 378-384.
- [38] L. F. Abbott. Acta Physica Polonica B. Vol 13 (1982), page 33-50.
- [39] E.S. Abers and B.W. Lee. *Gauge Theories*. Physics Reports 9, No 1, page 99.
- [40] P. Ramond. *Field Theory: A Modern Primer*. The Benjamin/Cummings Publishing Company, INC. 1981. Section 3.4.
- [41] P. Ramond. *Field Theory: A Modern Primer*. The Benjamin/Cummings Publishing Company, INC. 1981. page 115.
- [42] J.A.M.Vermaseren *New features of FORM*. math-ph/0010025.
- [43] I.I.Shapiro, W.B. Smith, M.B. Ash, R.P. Ingalls and G.H. Pettengill, Phys. Rev. Lett. Vol 26 (1971), page 27-30.
- [44] E. Gaztanaga, E. Garcia-Berro, J. Isern, E. Bravo and I. Dominguez, Phys. Rev. D. Vol 65 (2001), 023506.

- [45] C.W. Misner, K.S. Thorne, J.A. Wheeler. *Gravitation*. W.H. Freeman and Company, twenty third printing 2000, page 180.
- [46] T. Kugo and I. Ojima. *Supplement of the Progress of Theoretical Physics*, No 66 (1979). See Chapter III.
- [47] E. Witten, *Lectures Notes on Supersymmetry*, Trieste Lectures. July, 1981.
- [48] S. J. Gates Jr., M.T. Grisaru, M. Rocek, W. Siegel, *Superspace or One Thousand and One Lessons in Supersymmetry*, The Benjamin Cumming Publishing Company. page 65.
- [49] S. Weinberg. *The Quantum Theory Fields*. Vol II, Cambridge University Press 1996, page 97.